UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,204	08/15/2003	Ramin Cyrus	9692-000031	2088
	7590 07/01/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828		SIMS, JASON M		
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1631		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/643,204	CYRUS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

		UNCONTINIC CINIC	1001	
The MAILING DATE of this comm	nunication appe	ars on the cover sheet wit	h the corresponder	ice address
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 June 2006</u> FAILS TO P	LACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, I application, applicant must timely file one application in condition for allowance; (2) for Continued Examination (RCE) in comperiods: 	of the following a Notice of Appe	replies: (1) an amendment, a eal (with appeal fee) in comp	affidavit, or other evic liance with 37 CFR 4	dence, which places the I-1.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmont	hs from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the ma no event, however, will the statutory perio Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check	d for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHI	e mailing date of the fina	al rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1. have been filed is the date for purposes of determining under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expinate forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See NOTICE OF APPEAL	.136(a). The date ng the period of ext ration date of the s by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 Cension and the corresponding a hortened statutory period for re	amount of the fee. The ply originally set in the f	appropriate extension fee final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on	A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 m	ust be filed within two	months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37 Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37	(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal. Since a
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a (a) They raise new issues that would re (b) They raise the issue of new matter 	equire further cor	nsideration and/or search (se		ered because
(c) They are not deemed to place the a appeal; and/or	application in bet	ter form for appeal by mater		lifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims with NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.11	_	corresponding number of fina	ally rejected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance of the compliance of the compliant of the compliant of the compliance of the compliance			Ion-Compliant Amend	dment (PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) non-allowable claim(s). 			arate, timely filed am	endment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed am how the new or amended claims would be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	e rejected is prov s follows:		☐ will be entered a	nd an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	 ·			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after because applicant failed to provide a showas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1	wing of good and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after entered because the affidavit or other evi showing a good and sufficient reasons which is a sufficient reasons. 	dence failed to only it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under and was not earlier present	r appeal and/or appel ted. See 37 CFR 41.	llant fails to provide a .33(d)(1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entere REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHEF 		n of the status of the claims	after entry is below o	r attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has bee See Continuation Sheet.	en considered bu	t does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for	allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosur</i>13. ☐ Other:	e Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/Michael Borin, P	h.D./	
		Primary Examiner		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 6/6/2008 with respect to the rejection of claims under 35 USC 103 have been considered, but have not been found persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claim limitation directed to a workflow system operable to allow the user to prescribe and track the performance of a series of steps associated with the user's life sciences research is not taught by either Stanley OR Allen et al. Furthermore, applicant references several paragraphs from the specification to further elaborate on said workflow system to make a further distinction.

Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive for the following reasons. Applicant's workflow system is directed to allowing a user to prescribe and track the performance of steps broadly associated with the user's life sciences research. The wording "life sciences research" is broadly and reasonably interpreted as any aspect of the research, which may include searching for relative documents, data mining, wet lab bench science steps, and many other aspects. Therefore, Stanely at paragraphs [0037] and [0040] teaches this limitation, wherein a user may create queries, the status manager enables user-tracking, auditing, and rollback enabled by the command history, which broadly and reasonably read on the limitation of a workflow system operable to allow the user to prescribe and track the performance of a series of steps associated with the user's life sciences research. Furthermore, Stanely at paragraph [0094] describes an object manager, which enables application integration and inter-application communication, which further reads on enabling a user to prescribe a series of steps associated with the life sciences research through the enablement of applications. Moreover, Stanely at paragraph [0133] teaches an enablement of allowing user optimization of querries, which allows users to prescribe a series of steps associated with the user's life sciences research and broadly and reasonably reads on being part of the workflow system. Therefore, the system taught by Stanely enables user interaction and prescription of a series of steps, in the form of queries and can track these steps using the status manager, all of which are being broadly and reasonably being interpreted as reading on a workflow system. Furthermore, Applicant at paragraph [0016] further elaborates on an aspect of the workflow system "the workflow interface has a user interaction mechanism whereby the user can manipulate userspecified data stored in the data store and whereby the user can control the performance of the bioinformatics processing." Prescribing and tracking queries are also reasonably and broadly interpreted as reading on bioinformatics processing. Therefore, the creation of queries and tracking of them via resulting output has been broadly and reasonably interpreted as reading on bioinformatic processing of the directed workflow system.

Conclusion:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Sims, whose telephone number is (571)-272-7540.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Marjorie Moran can be reached via telephone (571)-272-0720.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

// Jason Sims //